

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_95164
Title of the Manuscript:	Learning Strategies, Student Engagement and Attitude towards School: A Causal Model on Reading Motivation of Students
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript aims to investigate the best fit model of student reading motivation. It should focus on if learning strategies or student attitude will change reading motivation. Therefore, more information should be clarified. 2. It is suggested that the title should be revised according to the research types and results. Student Reading Motivation: Attitudes, Engagement and Strategies 3. The abstract of this manuscript is not comprehensive because of the lack of necessary background information why the research project should be conducted. In addition, there are some misspellings such as “stratified” and “wa” in the abstract. 4. It is suggested that this manuscript should consist of the following 5 sections: Introduction, Literature review (including theoretical framework), Research design and methods, Results and discussion, Conclusion. 5. There are 400 participants in this research. However, it does not summarize the previous relevant studies critically. The other reason is that its research design is not rigorous. What does it look like in practice? How is it implemented? What is the purpose of this practice? 6. Regarding the references used in this manuscript, it is lack of more authoritative literature such as SCIE or SSCI indexed journal papers. As a result, the research findings are difficult to be convinced by readers.	Ok Changed the title as per suggestion Noted and amended Correction effected Noted and done
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language of manuscript and prepare should be edited by English Language Editing to ensure that article is free of spelling and grammar errors.	English has been improved
Optional/General comments	No.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	